

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | 1 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/643,221 08/19/2003 | | 08/19/2003 | Yasuhiro Yoshioka | 2870-0264P | 3448 |
| 2292 | 7590 | 09/08/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | KOLASCH & BIR | CHEA, THORL | | |
| PO BOX 74 FALLS CH | | VA 22040-0747 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 1752 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/643,221 | YOSHIOKA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Thorl Chea | 1752 | | |

| Advisory Action | 10/643,221 YOSHIOKA ET AL. | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Thorl Chea | 1752 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | ion. | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed, | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 August 2005</u>. A brie the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 | 11.37(e)), to avoid dis | missal of the | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1: | | | (DTOL 224) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpliant Amendment (| (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered and necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit are the second afficient reasons. | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ils to provide a 1). | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | | | | |
| 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered bu of the reason set forth in the Final Office Action. | | | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other: | • | | | | | |
| | | Tholeha | | | | |
| | | Thorl Chea Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752 | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/643,221

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the deletion of "R43" changes the scope of the claimed invention. Therefore, it requires further considerartion and/or search.